

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/662,783	09/15/2003		Thomas E. Yingst	DKE 9734	9519
321	7590	09/22/2006		EXAMINER	
SENNIGER	POWER	RS	SHAPIRO, JEFFERY A		
ONE METRO		N SQUARE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
16TH FLOOR			ARTONII	TALER NOMBER	
ST LOUIS, MO 63102				3653	
				DATE MAILED: 00/22/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	pplication No. Applicant(s)						
	10/662,783	YINGST, THOMAS E.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jeffrey A. Shapiro	3653					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/29/0</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-37 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17-39 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/2/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3653

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 23 is objected to because of the following informalities: In lines 5 and 6, the hinge is described as being near "a front" of the cabinet. It is suggested that the use of the terms "employee side" and "customer side" be maintained for consistency.

  Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claim 35 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 9, a "second damper" is mentioned. It is suggested that the use of the terms "first gas spring" and "second gas spring" or "first damper" and "second damper" be maintained for consistency. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 17-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perzon in view of Rhoads and further in view of Topper et al (US 6,547,346 B2).

Regarding Claims 17-33, Perzon discloses a product server (1), the product server having a transparent breath guard/cover (2), said product server being cooled (see abstract), said breath guard/cover being able to be rotated through an angle of

Art Unit: 3653

between 0 and 90 degrees. See figures 1-3.

Note that looking at figure 1, the employee/server side is construed to be near the side lower left, proximate the cover handles. Therefore, when the employee opens the cover as shown in figure 1, access is provided therein. The transparent covers (see col. 2, lines 60-64) in the raised position act as breath guards when in the open position, preventing customers from breathing directly onto the frozen items stored in the freezer. When in their lowered, generally horizontal position, the covers protect and seal the items kept inside the freezer. The covers are pivotally attached to the freezer at the "customer side". See figure 2.

When open, the covers extend upward toward the rear employee side of the freezer/server. See figure 1.

As further described in **Claims 21, 33 and 34,** note that Perzon, figures 1-3 illustrates a transparent cover raising through an angle of 0 and 90 degrees.

Note that Perzon's freezer internal cavity is construed as being able to receive a pan or similar device.

Regarding Claims 34-37, Perzon does not expressly disclose, but Rhoads discloses a serving cabinet having an upper frame with shelves. See figure 1, for example.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to incorporate Shelves, as taught by Rhoads, on Perzon's product serving apparatus above the open covers to provide a surface in a convenient position on which to place items such as products,

Art Unit: 3653

Ĺ

cash, containers, waste containers, etc., as is commonly well-known in the art.

Perzon does not expressly disclose, but **Topper** discloses the following. As described in **Claims 17**, **18**, **23-25**, **31** and **32**, Topper discloses a first power assist device (64) connected to the product server and the door/cover (42) that applies an upward force on the cover so as to assist pivotal movement of the cover to its raised position. A second power assist device (62) is connected to said product server and said cover so as to resist movement of the cover from its raised position to its lowered position. See figures 1-3 noting that during either lowering or raising the cover (42), one of the power assist devices (62 or 64) acts as a damper to help the operator lower or raise the door in a controlled manner, as described in **Claims 25 and 27**.

As described in **Claims 22 and 26**, note that the power assist devices are gas springs. See col. 5, lines 14-19.

As described in **Claims 19 and 28**, the gas springs assist the manual operation of the door (42). See col. 6, lines 3-6.

Generally, note that it can be construed that the cover (40) is pivotally attached near the customer side. See figure 1, for example, noting the relative proximity of the gas springs (62 and 64) and hinge (65) to transparent customer side (30).

Generally, note that the second power assist device (64) is connected to both a support frame (36) and said cover (40). See figure 8, noting gas springs (62 and 64).

Art Unit: 3653

As described in **Claims 35, 37 and 39**, note that support frames (36) are mounted on the product server and at least one of the power assist devices (62) are attached to said support frame. See figure 8 and element (36)

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to use first and second power assist devices attached to both the server superstructure as well as the shelf frame, as taught by Topper, to assist a user in lifting and lowering the covers of Perzon. See Topper, Col. 6, lines 3-6.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 17-37 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Perzon discloses a freezer product server that has a transparent cover, which, when in its raised position, prevents a person on the opposing side from breathing on the items in the product storage area. As discussed above, Perzon reads on most of the independent and dependent claims. Rhoads provides teaching to incorporate a shelf above the opened cover so as to place objects important to completing a sale. See above. Topper provides teaching and motivation to incorporate a first and second power assist devices attached to both the server superstructure and the shelf frame. See above.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3653

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 6

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey A. Shapiro whose telephone number is (571)272-6943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick H. Mackey can be reached on (571)272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

September 14, 2006

PATRICK MACKEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Page 7